

What is your postcode? By providing us with your postcode, you are consenting for us to use this information to understand where respondents live. If you do not consent to us using this information in this way, please do not provide your postcode. - Postcode	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the contents of the proposed PSPO? - Extent of agreement or disagreement	If there is anything else that you wish to share regarding the draft PSPO order you can do so here. - Anything else you wish to share?
NN17	Disagree	Exclusions are harsh. If a dog is on a short lead and any mess is cleared up, what harm does it do? Please note that not all assistance dogs are trained by a prescribed charity. There are assistance dogs that are owner trained, or trained by other skilled trainer but are still very much needed for support
NN18 8JL	Neither agree nor disagree	
nn18 8gx	Strongly agree	Why isnt Corby covered under this PSPO
NN96RF	Agree	I think clear signage would be needed for all exits and entrances which I believe will be difficult to achieve (people just vandalise and put signs down). I also feel it will be extremely difficult to police these PSPO orders. Who is going to appraise someone in a park who should have their dog on the lead but chooses not to?
NN9 6PJ	Agree	
Nn9	Agree	Dogs should not be restricted on Public land I do feel the number of dogs walked by one person should be limited to 4 to stop dog attacks and pack mentality Some dog walkers walk up to 9 and being a dog owner I am intimidated by that many dog being 'controlled' by one person
NN9 6DG	Strongly agree	
Nn8 3nh	Agree	
NN10 8AA	Agree	
NN15 5BX	Strongly agree	
NN15 5PY	Strongly agree	Any dog that bites a person or attacks another dog should in the first instance not be allowed off the owners premises unless muzzled, and if taken out without a muzzle and it attacks a person or other dog it should be immediately euthanised
NN9 6DE	I'm not sure	The page would not open up and I cannot give me true opinions but would like to say a few things of my experiences of public land. I am frustrated in the dog fouling situation. I called the dog warden several times she came round to my house several times I gave her the evidence photos and videos. She can't do anything!
NN109XY	Strongly agree	Length of dog leads should be shorter and "extending" dog leads banned in public places. They are very dangerous for people with limited vision as they blend into the background and cannot be easily seen. I know of people who have fallen over because of them. I agree that dog "poo" bags must be disposed of properly
NN14 2FE	Agree	To produce a bag or means to pick up dog waste upon request if exercising a dog upon request of an authorised council officer / police officer or PCSO
NN10 9YN	Strongly agree	There is nothing else to declare as I totally agree with the PSPO proposal
NN156FS	Strongly agree	I think, in my area more dogs poo bins would be needed.
NN14 4DF	Agree	The prolific leads of not more than 2 metres is unnecessary. This would mean the owner may have to change leads just to comply, rather than just shortening an extendable lead to the required length.
nn156dy	Agree	
NN14 1LN	Strongly agree	The PSPO should cover the whole area of North Northants
pe8 5hz	Neither agree nor disagree	2m lead restriction and wording re extendable leads is a bit vague. Have you considered the standard length of extendable leads? Hard to see people walking around with tape measures to check they are less than 2m.
NN8 1hj	Strongly agree	
NN189DF	Disagree	Is someone trying to justify their weekly wage? A pointless waste of time and Council resources could be put to far better use.
NN95XW	Strongly agree	Educate peoples responsibility of ownership of a DOG. License them all.
	Agree	
NN14 4UF	Agree	There have been several incidences of dogs wandering around in this area. Is there any way these dogs can be taken to a safe place while the owners are identified? Is there a dedicated unit/organisation? I seem to recall something should be in place.
Nn48fq	I'm not sure	Dogs should be licensed and microchipped.
NN8 3LS	Strongly agree	
NN18 9FD	Neither agree nor disagree	Why is there no mention of spaces in Corby
NN15 5HW	Strongly agree	Dogs should not be allowed into any premises selling or serving food
Nn15 6ng	Strongly agree	
NN10 8EX	Agree	Wharf Rd Higham Ferrers SSS site should be included in the List!!!!!!Severe problems there with Dog Excrement & dogs running loose
NN10 6UG	Agree	
NN8 5AF	Strongly agree	I couldn't see an exclusion proposal for Glamis Woods & I presume the playing areas on Kilborn Road come under Playgrounds generally. My other concern is how is this to be enforced - at the moment the Council doesn't have any cash.
NN15 6LB	Disagree	I disagree because:1. There are many areas that should NOT have been left out of the PSPO dog order (in my opinion) within KETTERING e.g. Horse Market, Kettering Market Place, Rockingham Road, Pleasure Park (main park & dog play area) (schedule 1) AND children's play area (schedule 2), Highfield Road park, Meadow R
NN144LA	Strongly agree	
Nn108el	Strongly agree	We must Have Higham Ferrers The Wharf ssi site on this list as we have a massive problem with dog excrement and loose dogs off the lead !!
NN10 0pp	Strongly agree	This is only of any real value if enforced. I have witnessed regular infringements at both Hall Park and Stanwick lakes. The latter I wrote to a number of weeks ago, idiot even receive an acknowledgement.
	Strongly disagree	focus on other important things like getting more bin cleaners on the street in rushden
NN9 5TU	Disagree	
NN29 7QQ	Strongly agree	Wollaston Parish Council would like Wollaston Playing Fields, London Road, Wollaston to be added to Schedule 1 (iii). Dog fouling/off lead dogs is a huge problem on the Playing Field for both humans and other animals. I was under the understanding the previous Clerk of Wollaston Parish Council requested this in A
NN10 8PE	Agree	
NN18 9AX	Strongly agree	Knowing that it didnt cover Corby before why has this now not been included in the new draft. why is there no limit added for number of dogs per person can walk included - when checking for guidance on gov.uk it directs you to local council but we have nothing
NN8 2JG	Agree	I would strongly agree if Stanwick Lakes was not included, although the area around the playgrounds, and main hub should be included. Irchester Country Park is divided into the main hub which is included and other areas which are not. Stanwick Lakes is large enough to allow for dogs off leads which is the only part
pe8 6xt	Agree	Croyland Gardens, Wellingborough is listed twice. I would like to see Kings Cliffe churchyard and Active at Kings Cliffe included. Is there a reason why they are not?
Nn9 5pr	Agree	
Nn9 5pr	Agree	
NN8 4UY	Strongly agree	
NN14 4BW	Strongly agree	
NN14 4BU	Strongly agree	Include in Schedule 1. 1(iii) Great Addington, All Saints Church, Churchyard
NN6 0AX	Strongly agree	I have noticed that Sywell and Irchester Country parks are listed but not Fermyn Woods or Barnwell Country Park. Is there any reason for this?
NN14 1PX	Disagree	I am concerned that it does not mention bridleways specifically. Also most fouling on my land is done by dogs that leave the footpath and are not "under control" and the owners make no attempt to collect it. Somehow the order needs to enforce clause 2 at the start.....the schedule does not seem to reinforce this point
NN14 2NP	Strongly agree	Would like to know how it would be enforced.
nn6 9ad	Agree	no
	Strongly agree	
NN8 3JP	Agree	I notice that Bassetts Park is not mentioned in the order. There is a fenced area that school children from Freemans and St Barnabas School use for sports there is a small sign stating that dogs are excluded however this is largely disregarded by owners who go into the area and immediately let their pets off the lead
NN17 2AN	Strongly agree	
NN14 4XL	Neither agree nor disagree	
NN169RS	Agree	Please make sure there is current, ample, and clear signage in plenty of places.
NN14 2PS	Agree	
NN108NL	Strongly agree	I would like to see that Dog owners are not allowed to take many dogs to a park or area off lead. These dogs can act like a pack and can be uncontrollable. Therefore, there should be a restriction of how many dogs an owner has off lead. Although I realise that this consultation does not cover this.
NN156HB	Strongly disagree	I believe that dogs should be allowed to run free where safe an appropriate to do so. Restricting a dog to a 2m lease does not adequately exercise some breeds or temperaments of dogs within the length of a walk that the owner can offer depending on disability or other factors, time constraints etc. I consider it appropriate
Nn9 5sw	Neither agree nor disagree	
NN142NT	Agree	
	Not Answered	
NN965B	Agree	
Nn14 2th	Agree	
Nn100jb	Neither agree nor disagree	
nn154af	Neither agree nor disagree	
	Not Answered	
Nn144bl	Not Answered	
	Not Answered	